arXiv:1510.06904v2 [physics.space-ph] 7 Mar 2016

On the role of wave-particle interactions in the macroscopic dynamics of collisionless plasmas

Lynn B. Wilson III[*], A.W. Breneman[†], A. Osmane[‡], D.M. Malaspina[§]

March 8, 2016

Abstract

Here we present a commentary on the role of small-scale (e.g., few tens of meters) wave-particle interactions in large-scale (e.g., few tens of kilometers) processes and their capacity to accelerate particles from thermal to suprathermal or even to cosmic-ray energies. The purpose of this commentary is to provoke thought and further investigation into the relative importance of electromagnetic waves in the global dynamics of many macroscopic systems. We specifically focus on the terrestrial radiation belts and collisionless shock waves as examples.

1 Background and Motivation

What is the relative importance of small-scale/microphysical (i.e., electron gyroradii to electron Debye scales) plasma processes to the acceleration of particles from thermal to high energies? Additionally, can these microphysical plasma processes influence or even dominate macroscopic (i.e., greater than ion gyroradii to system size) processes, thus affecting global dynamics? These are fundamental and unresolved questions in plasma [e.g., *Balogh and Treumann*, 2013; *Cattell et al.*, 2012] and astrophysical research [e.g., *Bykov et al.*, 2014].

Recent observations of large amplitude electromagnetic waves in the terrestrial radiation belts [i.e., *Cattell et al.*, 2008; *Kellogg et al.*, 2010; *Wilson III et al.*, 2011] and in collisionless shock waves [i.e., *Wilson III et al.*, 2014a,b] have raised questions regarding the macrophysical effect of these microscopic waves on these two systems. These observations have renewed and increased attention on the relative importance of wave-particle interactions in these regions of space. In this commentary, we provide a few examples that illustrate the potential of small-scale electromagnetic waves to quickly and strongly energize particles and affect the global dynamics of macroscopic systems. We then provide a means to extrapolate impact of wave-particle interactions to regions of space that are currently inaccessible (e.g., magnetar atmospheres).

2 Radiation Belt Dynamics

The discovery of large amplitude whistler mode waves (LAWs) [i.e., *Cattell et al.*, 2008] fundamentally changed our evaluation of the role played by small-scale wave-particle interactions in the terrestrial radiation belts. Most prior studies of whistler mode waves (i.e., including chorus and hiss) in the inner magnetosphere, relying upon time- and frequency-averaged dynamic spectra, observed typical amplitudes of only $\sim$0.5 mV/m [e.g., *Meredith et al.*, 2001] and $\sim$0.01–0.1 nT [e.g., *Horne et al.*, 2005]. In contrast, recent high resolution time series measurements have observed waves with magnetic amplitudes $\delta B >$4–8 nT (peak-to-peak) [e.g., *Wilson III et al.*, 2011; *Santolík et al.*, 2014] and electric amplitudes $\delta E >$ 200–400 mV/m [e.g., *Agapitov et al.*, 2014; *Cattell et al.*, 2008; *Kellogg et al.*, 2010, 2011; *Wilson III et al.*, 2011], where amplitudes of several 10s of mV/m and/or several hundred pT have occurrence rates reaching < 10 % [e.g., *Cully et al.*, 2008; *Li et al.*, 2011]. Below we discuss potential impacts of such large amplitude waves in order to provoke further research into their relative importance.

[*]NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, USA.
[†]School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA.
[‡]Department of Radio Science, Aalto University, Finland, 02150.
[§]University of Colorado at Boulder, LASP, Colorado, USA.

2.1 Nonlinear Acceleration

Wilson III et al. [2011], using fully nonlinear equations [e.g., Equation 44 in *Omura et al.*, 2007], derived a rough estimate of the maximum kinetic energy gain of an electron interacting with the 8 nT LAW shown in Figure 1A, finding an energy increase between ∼30–70 MeV, energies 30–70 times larger than current measurements. The equation assumes the waves propagate parallel to the quasi-static magnetic field and that, somewhat unrealistically, the waves are constant amplitude and omnipresent along the particle trajectory. Even so, dynamical simulations have shown that keV electrons can be accelerated to hundreds of keV energies [e.g., *Artemyev et al.*, 2014a,b; *Osmane et al.*, 2016] or even MeV energies on kinetic time scales (i.e., trapping times and/or a few tens of gyroperiods, which corresponds to ∼0.1–10 ms in the terrestrial radiation belts) by oblique whistler mode waves [e.g., *Kersten et al.*, 2011; *Osmane and Hamza*, 2012].

To further illustrate the significance of including the fully nonlinear relations, we compare with a simple linear approximation where we estimate the wave electric potential by assuming $\phi \sim 2\ \pi\ |\delta\mathbf{E}|/k = |\delta\mathbf{E}|\ \lambda$. We can use the observed wave amplitude shown in Figure 1A and previous estimates of of the wavenumber ranges for whistler modes of $k\rho_{ce} \sim 0.2$–1.0 [e.g., *Hull et al.*, 2012; *Wilson III et al.*, 2013] to find $\phi \lesssim 4$ keV. Thus, one can see that the simple linear approximation is up to several orders of magnitude smaller than the fully nonlinear estimates shown above.

2.2 Global Dynamics

We use the example waveform in Figure 1A to discuss global radiation belt time-scales. The wave had a lower bound (i.e., due to saturation and missing two magnetic field components) on its in situ Poynting flux of ∼300 $\mu W\ m^{-2}$, which is roughly four orders of magnitude larger than the estimates found by *Santolík et al.* [2010] (i.e., $\sim 5 \times 10^{-2} \mu W\ m^{-2}$). They discussed the potential for chorus to accelerate plasma sheet electrons up to 1 MeV energies, assuming a typical radiation belt flux of $\sim 10^6\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$. To produce this, they argued that the chorus waves would need to deposit an energy density of $\sim 5 \times 10^{-12} J\ m^{-3}$. They assumed a field-aligned column ∼3 R_E in length, which corresponds to a column-integrated energy density of $\sim 10^{-4} J\ m^{-2}$. Assuming a 1% efficiency, they found that the chorus waves could produce such a column on a time scale of days.

If we follow the same line of reasoning, but with our observation of ∼300 $\mu W\ m^{-2}$, we find a time-scale of ∼33 seconds. While the time-scale is much longer than the duration of the wave packet, the dramatic difference with previous estimates illustrates the potential impact of such LAWs on the global system. This argument is supported by recent work [e.g., *Artemyev et al.*, 2015] showing that oblique whistler mode waves can carry up to 80% of the energy in resonant wave-particle interactions, significantly altering radiation belt particle lifetimes, lending support to the argument that LAWs play an important or even dominant role in the global dynamics of the terrestrial radiation belts [e.g., *Agapitov et al.*, 2014; *Wilson III et al.*, 2011].

Below we will move on to discuss the importance of small-scale wave-particle interactions on the dynamics of another macroscopic system, collisionless shock waves.

3 Collisionless Shock Wave Dynamics

Early work on collisionless shock waves debated not only about whether such phenomena could exist, but if they did exist, how such phenomena could form and evolve [e.g., see *Wilson III et al.*, 2014a,b, for detailed review]. The primary issue was that without collisions (i.e., a mechanism to dissipate energy, thus, produce entropy), a steepening sound wave should break rather than form into a stable discontinuity. Similar to the radiation belts, early observations had limited resolution which initially led to the conclusion that small-scale, high frequency waves were not the dominant energy dissipation mechanism [e.g., *Scudder et al.*, 1986]. However, recent observations of large amplitude electrostatic [e.g., *Breneman et al.*, 2013; *Wilson III et al.*, 2007, 2010] and electromagnetic waves [e.g., *Hull et al.*, 2012; *Wilson III et al.*, 2012, 2013, 2014a,b] at collisionless shocks have renewed interest in possible energy dissipation mechanisms for these nonlinear phenomena.

For instance, using high resolution observations *Wilson III et al.* [2007, 2014b] both found that the quasi-linear estimate for wave-particle (anomalous) collisions exceeded Coulomb collision rates by over 7–12 orders of magnitude. Furthermore, recent simulations [e.g., *Petkaki and Freeman*, 2008] have shown that the quasi-linear estimates themselves are 2–3 orders of magnitude too small. The next question was, what is the source for such large amplitude waves?

Initial results by *Wilson III et al.* [2007] and *Breneman et al.* [2013] suggested a dependence of both occurrence and amplitude of electrostatic waves on changes in the magnetic field interpreted as currents. A more



Figure 1: The image shows an example waveform capture of a LAW in the terrestrial radiation belts (panel A), plot of the correlation between $|\delta\mathbf{E}|$ and $|\mathbf{j}_\circ|$ (panel B), and an extrapolation of the fit lines shown in panel B to different regions of space with fit parameters color-coded and labeled (panel C). See text for more details. Panel A is adapted from Figure 2 in *Wilson III et al.* [2011] and panel B is adapted from Figure II:2 in *Wilson III et al.* [2014b].

recent two-part study [i.e., *Wilson III et al.*, 2014a,b] found a direct relationship between the magnitude of the quasi-static current density, $|\mathbf{j}_\circ|$, and the wave electric field magnitude, $|\delta\mathbf{E}|$ (results illustrated in Figure 1B). Additionally, they found that current-driven electromagnetic emissions were not limited to just the shock ramp, which begs the question of the level of fundamental importance of such a relationship.

3.1 Currents and Waves

The dependence of wave amplitude on the current density (in the terrestrial bow shock) is shown in Figure 1B. The plot shows nearly $\sim 10^6$ points as a scatter plot comparing $|\delta\mathbf{E}|$ with $|\mathbf{j}_\circ|$. Color-coded contours have been over-plotted to differentiate regions with the highest density of points. The color-coded legend in the lower-left hand corner corresponds to the color-coded points in the plot (e.g., points within outermost contour comprise ~90% of the data, i.e., the red+orange+purple points). Three fit lines have been over-plotted, where the color of the line corresponds to the fraction of points used in the fit associated with the color-coded legend in the lower-left hand corner. For instance, the red line corresponds to a fit of all points within the purple contour (i.e., the red+orange+purple points). The fit parameters and fit function (i.e., $\delta E = A\ j_\circ + \delta E_\circ$) are shown in Figure 1C, which will be discussed in the next section.

The results show a general trend of increasing $|\delta\mathbf{E}|$ with increasing $|\mathbf{j}_\circ|$, consistent with theories [e.g., *Sagdeev*, 1966; *Gary*, 1981] predicting that $|\delta\mathbf{E}|$ results from currents radiating electromagnetic waves, called current-driven instabilities. This phenomena is not limited to collisionless shock waves. It appears that currents (i.e., observed as time variations and/or gradients in the magnetic field) are sources of free energy regardless of their location, as seen in the solar wind [e.g., *Malaspina et al.*, 2013]. The radiation of high frequency waves is not limited to currents, as evidenced by recent observations within the terrestrial magnetosphere [e.g., *Malaspina et al.*, 2015], suggesting small-scale waves play important roles in energy dissipation at multiple types of boundary layers. The

trend shown in Figure 1B begs the question: How far can one extend this relationship for predictive purposes?

3.2 Extrapolation to Inaccessible Regions

The trend found by *Wilson III et al.* [2014b], shown in Figure 1B, approximately satisfies a power-law relationship given by $|\delta\mathbf{E}| \propto |\mathbf{j}_\circ|^\gamma$. Here we will use this trend to predict ranges for $|\delta\mathbf{E}|$ (e.g., results shown in Figure 1C) to currently inaccessible regions of space for the purpose of prediction and motivation of further research.

There are several candidates including the solar corona, neutron star magnetospheres, and the extremes of a magnetar. Theoretical work estimates that the current densities in each of these regions are: 10^4–10^7 $\mu A\ m^{-2}$ for the solar corona [e.g., *Régnier*, 2009]; 10^{14}–10^{15} $\mu A\ m^{-2}$ for neutron stars [e.g., *Goldreich and Julian*, 1969]; and 10^{19}–10^{22} $\mu A\ m^{-2}$ for magnetars [e.g., *Stepanov and Zaitsev*, 2013]. We use these ranges of $|\mathbf{j}_\circ|$, the power-law relationship described above, and the fit parameters associated with the color-coded lines in Figure 1B to estimate ranges of $|\delta\mathbf{E}|$. The color-coded lines in Figure 1C correspond to the same color-coded fit lines in Figure 1B. The average values are shown as squares and the error bars correspond to the ranges for $|\mathbf{j}_\circ|$ and $|\delta\mathbf{E}|$. While the neutron star and magnetar environments involve pair versus proton-electron plasmas, there should still be significant currents and associated radiated instabilities. The point is to illustrate the potential for truly dramatic electric fields and to encourage questions about potential acceleration limits/possibilities.

The numerical ranges for $|\delta\mathbf{E}|$ are shown to the right of the panel and color-coded for each fit line. As a benchmark for validation, we find our estimated solar corona fields of $\sim$ 0.5–300 V/m are consistent with previous predictions [e.g., *Davis*, 1977]. This provides confidence in our extrapolations to the next two more extreme environments.

Near neutron stars, we predict $|\delta\mathbf{E}|$ ranging from $\sim 4 \times 10^7$ to 9×10^{10} V/m. The most striking result is that $|\delta\mathbf{E}|$ near magnetars range from $\sim 1 \times 10^{10}$ to 6×10^{15} V/m. This is equivalent to saying that an electron falling through the equivalent electric potential for one meter, ignoring any radiative losses, would gain $> 10^{15}$ eV of energy! Thus, a particle could reach the so called "knee" of the cosmic ray spectrum [e.g., *Hörandel*, 2003] within only one meter.

4 Discussion

The purpose of this commentary is to provoke thought and further investigation into the relative importance of electron-scale (e.g., few tens to hundreds of meters) wave-particle interactions in the dynamics of large-scale (e.g., few tens of kilometers or larger) systems and their potential for particle energization. We have provided a few examples illustrating the potential for such waves to produce relativistic electrons in the radiation belts or even ultra-relativistic particles in the magnetospheres of magnetars. We have also provided evidence that such waves can dissipate energy, thus affect the global dynamics of the macroscopic systems in which they exist. We hope that the dramatic energies predicted in this commentary provoke a greater emphasis on the small-scale wave-particle interactions in future theoretical and observational studies.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank A.F.- Viñas, D. Bryant, D.A. Roberts, R.T. Wicks, R. Lysak, V. Krasnoselskikh, and M.L. Goldstein for useful discussions of the fundamental physics involved in our study. The work was partially supported by *Wind* MO&DA grants and NASA ROSES H-GI grants. The *Wind* TDS data and software used in this paper are found in the level zero files in the University of Minnesota *Wind* WAVES servers, available on individual request. The THEMIS data used in this paper and the associated calibration software can be found at:
http://themis.ssl.berkeley.edu/index.shtml; and
http://cdaweb.gsfc.nasa.gov.

References

Agapitov, O. V., A. V. Artemyev, D. Mourenas, V. Krasnoselskikh, J. Bonnell, O. L. Contel, C. M. Cully, and V. Angelopoulos (2014), The quasi-electrostatic mode of chorus waves and electron nonlinear acceleration, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *119*, 1606–1626, doi:10.1002/2013JA019223.

Artemyev, A. V., A. A. Vasiliev, D. Mourenas, O. V. Agapitov, V. Krasnoselskikh, D. Boscher, and G. Rolland (2014a), Fast transport of resonant electrons in phase space due to nonlinear trapping by whistler waves, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *41*, 5727–5733, doi:10.1002/2014GL061380.

Artemyev, A. V., A. A. Vasiliev, D. Mourenas, O. V. Agapitov, and V. V. Krasnoselskikh (2014b), Electron scattering and nonlinear trapping by oblique whistler waves: The critical wave intensity for nonlinear effects, *Phys. Plasmas*, *21*(10), 102903, doi:10.1063/1.4897945.

Artemyev, A. V., O. V. Agapitov, D. Mourenas, V. V. Krasnoselskikh, and F. S. Mozer (2015), Wave energy budget analysis in the Earth's radiation belts uncovers a missing energy, *Nature Comm.*, *6*, 8143, doi:10.1038/ncomms8143.

Balogh, A., and R. A. Treumann (2013), *Physics of Collisionless Shocks*, Springer Science+Business Media New York, 2013, doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-6099-2.

Breneman, A., C. Cattell, K. Kersten, A. Paradise, S. Schreiner, P. J. Kellogg, K. Goetz, and L. B. Wilson III (2013), STEREO and Wind observations of intense cyclotron harmonic waves at the Earths bow shock and inside the magnetosheath, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *118*(12), 7654–7664, doi:10.1002/2013JA019372.

Bykov, A. M., A. Brandenburg, M. A. Malkov, and S. M. Osipov (2014), *Microphysics of Cosmic Ray Driven Plasma Instabilities*, p. 125, doi:10.1007/978-1-4899-7413-6_6.

Cattell, C., et al. (2008), Discovery of very large amplitude whistler-mode waves in Earth's radiation belts, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *35*, 1105, doi:10.1029/2007GL032009.

Cattell, C. A., et al. (2012), Large-Amplitude Whistler Waves and Electron Acceleration in the Earth's Radiation Belts: A Review of STEREO and Wind Observations, in *Dynamics of the Earth's Radiation Belts and Inner Magnetosphere*, *Geophys. Monogr. Ser.*, vol. 199, edited by D. Summers, I. R. Mann, D. N. Baker, and M. Schulz, pp. 41–51, American Geophysical Union, Washington, D.C., doi:10.1029/2012GM001322.

Cully, C. M., J. W. Bonnell, and R. E. Ergun (2008), THEMIS observations of long-lived regions of large-amplitude whistler waves in the inner magnetosphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *35*, 17, doi:10.1029/2008GL033643.

Davis, W. D. (1977), Measurement of plasma wave electric fields in solar flares, *Solar Phys.*, *54*, 139–149, doi:10.1007/BF00146430.

Gary, S. P. (1981), Microinstabilities upstream of the earth's bow shock - A brief review, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *86*, 4331–4336, doi:10.1029/JA086iA06p04331.

Goldreich, P., and W. H. Julian (1969), Pulsar Electrodynamics, *Astrophys. J.*, *157*, 869, doi:10.1086/150119.

Hörandel, J. R. (2003), On the knee in the energy spectrum of cosmic rays, *Astropart. Phys.*, *19*, 193–220, doi:10.1016/S0927-6505(02)00198-6.

Horne, R. B., R. M. Thorne, S. A. Glauert, J. M. Albert, N. P. Meredith, and R. R. Anderson (2005), Timescale for radiation belt electron acceleration by whistler mode chorus waves, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *110*, 3225, doi:10.1029/2004JA010811.

Hull, A. J., L. Muschietti, M. Oka, D. E. Larson, F. S. Mozer, C. C. Chaston, J. W. Bonnell, and G. B. Hospodarsky (2012), Multiscale whistler waves within Earth's perpendicular bow shock, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *117*, A12104, doi:10.1029/2012JA017870.

Kellogg, P. J., C. A. Cattell, K. Goetz, S. J. Monson, and L. B. Wilson III (2010), Electron trapping and charge transport by large amplitude whistlers, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *37*, L20106, doi:10.1029/2010GL044845.

Kellogg, P. J., C. A. Cattell, K. Goetz, S. J. Monson, and L. B. Wilson III (2011), Large amplitude whistlers in the magnetosphere observed with Wind-Waves, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *116*, A09224, doi:10.1029/2010JA015919.

Kersten, K., et al. (2011), Observation of relativistic electron microbursts in conjunction with intense radiation belt whistler-mode waves, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *38*, 8107, doi:10.1029/2011GL046810.

Li, W., J. Bortnik, R. M. Thorne, and V. Angelopoulos (2011), Global distribution of wave amplitudes and wave normal angles of chorus waves using THEMIS wave observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *116*, A12205, doi:10.1029/2011JA017035.

Malaspina, D. M., D. L. Newman, L. B. Wilson III, K. Goetz, P. J. Kellogg, and K. Kersten (2013), Electrostatic Solitary Waves in the Solar Wind: Evidence for Instability at Solar Wind Current Sheets, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *118*, 591–599, doi:10.1002/jgra.50102.

Malaspina, D. M., J. R. Wygant, R. E. Ergun, G. D. Reeves, R. M. Skoug, and B. A. Larsen (2015), Electric field structures and waves at plasma boundaries in the inner magnetosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *120*, 4246–4263, doi:10.1002/2015JA021137.

Meredith, N. P., R. B. Horne, and R. R. Anderson (2001), Substorm dependence of chorus amplitudes: Implications for the acceleration of electrons to relativistic energies, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *106*, 13,165–13,178, doi:

10.1029/2000JA900156.

Omura, Y., N. Furuya, and D. Summers (2007), Relativistic turning acceleration of resonant electrons by coherent whistler mode waves in a dipole magnetic field, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *112*, 6236, doi:10.1029/2006JA012243.

Osmane, A., and A. M. Hamza (2012), Relativistic acceleration of Landau resonant particles as a consequence of Hopf bifurcations, *Phys. Plasmas*, *19*(3), 030,702, doi:10.1063/1.3692234.

Osmane, A., L. B. Wilson III, L. Blum, and T. I. Pulkkinen (2016), On the Connection between Microbursts and Nonlinear Electronic Structures in Planetary Radiation Belts, *Astrophys. J.*, *816*(2), 51–60, doi:10.3847/0004-637X/816/2/51.

Petkaki, P., and M. P. Freeman (2008), Nonlinear Dependence of Anomalous Ion-Acoustic Resistivity on Electron Drift Velocity, *Astrophys. J.*, *686*, 686–693, doi:10.1086/590654.

Régnier, S. (2009), Relationship between photospheric currents and coronal magnetic helicity for force-free bipolar fields, *Astron. & Astrophys.*, *497*, L17–L20, doi:10.1051/0004-6361/200811502.

Sagdeev, R. Z. (1966), Cooperative Phenomena and Shock Waves in Collisionless Plasmas, *Rev. Plasma Phys.*, *4*, 23.

Santolík, O., J. S. Pickett, D. A. Gurnett, J. D. Menietti, B. T. Tsurutani, and O. Verkhoglyadova (2010), Survey of Poynting flux of whistler mode chorus in the outer zone, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *115*, 0, doi:10.1029/2009JA014925.

Santolík, O., C. A. Kletzing, W. S. Kurth, G. B. Hospodarsky, and S. R. Bounds (2014), Fine structure of large-amplitude chorus wave packets, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *41*, 293–299, doi:10.1002/2013GL058889.

Scudder, J. D., A. Mangeney, C. Lacombe, C. C. Harvey, and C. S. Wu (1986), The resolved layer of a collisionless, high beta, supercritical, quasi-perpendicular shock wave. III - Vlasov electrodynamics, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *91*, 11,075–11,097, doi:10.1029/JA091iA10p11075.

Stepanov, A. V., and V. V. Zaitsev (2013), Electric current diagnostics in the magnetosphere of neutron stars, in *IAU Symposium*, *IAU Symposium*, vol. 291, edited by J. van Leeuwen, pp. 505–507, doi:10.1017/S1743921312024672.

Wilson III, L. B., C. Cattell, P. J. Kellogg, K. Goetz, K. Kersten, L. Hanson, R. MacGregor, and J. C. Kasper (2007), Waves in Interplanetary Shocks: A Wind/WAVES Study, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, *99*(4), 041101, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.041101.

Wilson III, L. B., C. A. Cattell, P. J. Kellogg, K. Goetz, K. Kersten, J. C. Kasper, A. Szabo, and M. Wilber (2010), Large-amplitude electrostatic waves observed at a supercritical interplanetary shock, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *115*, A12104, doi:10.1029/2010JA015332.

Wilson III, L. B., C. A. Cattell, P. J. Kellogg, J. R. Wygant, K. Goetz, A. Breneman, and K. Kersten (2011), The properties of large amplitude whistler mode waves in the magnetosphere: Propagation and relationship with geomagnetic activity, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *38*, L17107, doi:10.1029/2011GL048671.

Wilson III, L. B., et al. (2012), Observations of electromagnetic whistler precursors at supercritical interplanetary shocks, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *39*, L08109, doi:10.1029/2012GL051581.

Wilson III, L. B., et al. (2013), Electromagnetic waves and electron anisotropies downstream of supercritical interplanetary shocks, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *118*(1), 5–16, doi:10.1029/2012JA018167.

Wilson III, L. B., D. G. Sibeck, A. W. Breneman, O. Le Contel, C. Cully, D. L. Turner, V. Angelopoulos, and D. M. Malaspina (2014a), Quantified Energy Dissipation Rates in the Terrestrial Bow Shock: 1. Analysis Techniques and Methodology, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *119*(8), 6455–6474, doi:10.1002/2014JA019929.

Wilson III, L. B., D. G. Sibeck, A. W. Breneman, O. Le Contel, C. Cully, D. L. Turner, V. Angelopoulos, and D. M. Malaspina (2014b), Quantified Energy Dissipation Rates in the Terrestrial Bow Shock: 2. Waves and Dissipation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *119*(8), 6475–6495, doi:10.1002/2014JA019930.